

Network for Engineering with Nature Partner Symposium – Poster Judging Form

Judges Name: _____

Thank you for judging the posters at this inaugural symposium! Please return your completed forms to Shaun Donovan as soon as possible after the poster session. Best Technical Poster and Best Communication/Design Poster awards will be presented during lunch On Thursday, May 23rd. For questions, please contact Shaun at sdonovan@sariverauthority.org or (210) 639-8437.

Poster #: _____ Presenter Name: _____

Technical Content Rubric

Criteria	Score Range			Score (0-2)
	2	1	0	
Introduction	Sufficient background is provided to establish a baseline understanding of the study goals.	Some background is provided, but there is still uncertainty about the main goals of the project.	The study is poorly introduced and doesn't convey the study goals.	
Methods	Methods clearly conveyed and address the question(s) conveyed in the introduction.	Methods clearly conveyed but don't effectively address the study question(s).	Methods not clearly conveyed.	
Data Analysis & Interpretation	The appropriate analyses were chosen and the results were effectively interpreted. The conclusions drawn come clearly from the results.	The appropriate analyses were chosen, but they were not accurately interpreted. Conclusions are not clearly from the results.	The appropriate analyses were not chosen, the data could have been more effectively analyzed and/or interpreted.	
Originality & Technical Merit	This is a novel study or a new way to look at a common problem or research question in the field of Engineering with Nature (EWN).	This study has some unique characteristics but could be more innovative in some aspects of EWN.	This a very common type of study with limited long-term utility to the field, or does is not directly related to EWN.	
Delivery	The presenter was able to directly answer questions and engage in dialogue about the topic.	The presenter was able to address some questions, but their overall comprehension of the study was lacking.	The presenter was unable to answer basic questions on the study and was not confident in presenting the material.	
Other Considerations	This category is for extra points (up to 2) that can be given for unique or extra things that a presenter has on their poster or in their presentation of it. If you give points in this category, please make a comment in the space below.			
Total Score				
Comments:				

Effective Communication and Design Rubric

Criteria	Score Range			Score (0-2)
	2	1	0	
Organization /Flow	Navigation is explicitly indicated with numbers or visual guides.	Navigation is implicit (e.g. headings and blocks of text, imply organization)	It's not clear how I should move through information on the poster.	
Graphics	Graphics are clear from 3-5' away. Color is used to highlight important points or to convey quantitative information. Visual anchors guide and help orient viewer to content.	Graphics can be seen but not understood from 3-5' away. Colors distract the viewer or do not aid in comprehension. No visual anchors to orient the viewer to the content.	Graphics are not distinguishable from text. Too much or too little color creates confusion. Graphics underused.	
Data Visualization	Charts and graphs communicate the major results and implications. Axes are clearly labeled. Color and/or visual cues are used to highlight important data points.	Data are presented in charts and graphs, but the figures do not clearly communicate the results. No visual cues to draw attention to a big result.	No data presented, or raw data presented without interpretation. The viewer must spend time to interpret data before they can understand the poster.	
Text	Title and major headings are legible from 3-5 feet away. Explanatory text is associated with each graphic. 30% to 50% of the poster content is comprised of text.	Titles and major headings are legible from 3-5 feet away, but the poster is comprised of < 30% or >50% text. Explanatory text is not directly adjacent to the graphic described.	Titles and/or major headings are illegible from 3-5 feet away. Poster is comprised of < 30% or > 50% text.	
"White" Space	Empty space is organized and large enough to visually organize content segments from 3-5' away.	Empty space is employed but is insufficient to visually organize the content as viewed from 3-5' away.	Little to no empty space; the poster looks cluttered. Difficult to navigate from 3-5' away.	
Objectives/ Intent	The study objectives are explicitly stated with the use of a heading.	The study objectives are present but buried in the background information.	I could not find the study objectives.	
Effective Communication	The main points are clearly communicated to a wide audience.	The main points are clearly communicated, but only appropriate for a technical audience.	The main points are not clearly communicated.	
Total Score				
Comments:				